

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>1</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	California Department of Transportation
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	2569568320
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	215391917
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>1</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	1
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	27722000
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	647924000 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amount programmed for entire FFY 2008-09</li> </ul> 1051076000 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amount programmed for entire FFY 2009-10</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>2</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Association of Monterey Bay Governments (AMBAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	34307572
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>2</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>3</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	9663612
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>3</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>4</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Council of Fresno County Governments (COFCG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	37051187
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>4</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>5</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Kern Council of Governments (KCOG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	31267971
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>5</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>6</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	<a href="#">Kings County Regional Planning Agency (KCAG)</a>
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	<a href="#">Highway Infrastructure Investment</a>
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	<a href="#">6357770</a>
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	<a href="#">0</a>
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	<a href="#">0</a>

<sup>6</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>7</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	5468965
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>7</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>8</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	10191565
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>8</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1289 623 1829 724">• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1289 769 1776 797">• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>9</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	320890207
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>9</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>10</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	72181125
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>10</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>11</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	131432280
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>11</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>12</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	26209734
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>12</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>13</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	11677530
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>13</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>14</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	20024680
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>14</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>15</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	<a href="#">Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency (Shasta County RTPA )</a>
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	<a href="#">Highway Infrastructure Investment</a>
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	<a href="#">7478380</a>
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	<a href="#">0</a>
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	<a href="#">0</a>

<sup>15</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1289 623 1829 724">• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1289 769 1776 797">• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>16</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	780675165
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>16</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>17</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Stanislaus County Association of Governments (SAAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	21239250
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>17</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>18</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization (TMPO)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	2424150
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>18</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 1: AGGREGATE DATA, BY FORMULA PROGRAM<sup>19</sup>**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Recipient name	Minnesota Department of Transportation	Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG)
Federal formula program (i.e., Highway Infrastructure Investment, Transit Capital Investment, Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment, or Clean Water State Revolving Funds)	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Amount of Recovery Act funds allocated/apportioned to recipient (Transit agencies: please report on the amount of Recovery Act funds available to your agency and not the overall amount apportioned to your urbanized area)	850000	1788750
Amount of Recovery Act funds obligated	690000	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds outlayed	75000	0

<sup>19</sup> With regard to each Federal formula program, recipients should report information in each applicable category. For example, if the Minnesota Department of Transportation had begun work on a highway bridge repair, it would likely report the project in each of the following categories: number of projects put out to bid; estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid; number of projects under contract; amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract; number of projects in which work has begun; and amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun.

With regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, Governors, in coordination with Metropolitan Planning Organizations (“MPOs”), should submit the following Table 1 forms: (1) aggregate data for the entire State; and (2) a separate copy of Table 1 representing aggregate data for funds suballocated to each MPO serving a Transportation Management Area pursuant to Section 133 of Title 23, United States Code. For example, with regard to Highway Infrastructure Investment, the Governor of Oregon should submit the following forms: Table 1 for the entire State of Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Eugene, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Portland, Oregon; Table 1 for Recovery Act funds suballocated to Salem, Oregon; and Table 2 for each project in the State.

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Number of projects put out to bid (i.e., the project has been advertised)	8	0
Estimated amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects put out to bid	690000	0
Number of projects under contract (i.e., the contract has been awarded)	6	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects under contract	428000	0
Number of projects in which work has begun (i.e., the contractor has received a “notice to proceed” or the work has begun in-house, where applicable)	4	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with projects in which work has begun	100000	0
Number of projects in which work has been completed (i.e., the work has been completed by a contractor or in-house, where applicable)	2	0
Amount of Recovery Act funds associated with completed projects	50000	0
Number of direct, on-project jobs created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the number of employees created or sustained by Recovery Act funds, including employees of recipient, prime contractors, consultants, and subcontractors)	178	0

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Total job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total job hours for employees cited in above line)	14240	0
Total payroll of job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds (i.e., the total dollar amount of wages paid for job hours created or sustained by Recovery Act funds)	370240	0
Aggregate expenditure from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 3/31/09	50000	0 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The California Transportation Commission has not allocated funds for projects since December 12, 2008.</li> </ul>
Amount of funds that recipient planned to spend as of 2/17/09 from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	600000	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data not available at time of report.</li> </ul>
Any decrease (from the amount reported in the line above) in the amount of funds that the recipient now plans to spend from State sources for projects eligible for funding under the Federal formula program during the period from 2/17/09 through 9/30/10	0	0

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>20</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Russian River Bridge - 222
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-P222(001)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	01-38050
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Replace bridge
Estimated project total cost	2200000	13260000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>20</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>21</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Red Bluff Roadway & Rehab
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -005-8(336)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	100000000000	02-2C50U
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate roadway
Estimated project total cost	2200000	38054000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>21</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>22</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	COL-020 Reconstruct Embankment
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-P020(150)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	03-1E030
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Reconstruct slope embankment
Estimated project total cost	2200000	9546000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>22</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>23</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Rio Bonito Project
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-P099(519)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	03-36690
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate roadway
Estimated project total cost	2200000	17380000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>23</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>24</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Sac Metro TMS
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -000C(304)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	03-4C030
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Install traffic management systems
Estimated project total cost	2200000	5992000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Improve mobility

<sup>24</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>25</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	CMS CCTV
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -000C(303)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	100000000000	03-0C281
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Install traffic management systems
Estimated project total cost	2200000	11645000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Improve mobility

<sup>25</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>26</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Sut-99 Cap M
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P099(520)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	100000000000	03-3C880
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate roadway
Estimated project total cost	2200000	22880000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>26</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>27</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	EIP - Alpine Co to Route 50
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P089(094)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	100000000000	03-1A841
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Improve water quality
Estimated project total cost	2200000	29460000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>27</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>28</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	ED-49 Log Town Safety
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-P049(143)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	03-4C090
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Widen shoulders to enhance safety
Estimated project total cost	2200000	10375000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>28</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>29</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	ALA-880, Fruitvale Structure Rehabilitation
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -880-1(058)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	04-17790
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate bridge
Estimated project total cost	2200000	12629000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>29</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>30</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	SOL-80, Fairfield From Rte. 12 to Air Base Parkway
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-080-2(356)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	04-4C15U
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate roadway
Estimated project total cost	2200000	29500000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>30</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>31</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Shandon Roadside Rest
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P046(042)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	05-0F710
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate safety roadside rest area
Estimated project total cost	2200000	8115000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>31</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>32</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Monterey to Castroville Guardrail Upgrade
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA-X053(022)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	05-0L800
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Upgrade guard rail, crash cushion and end treatments to enhance safety
Estimated project total cost	2200000	2535000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>32</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>33</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Cottonwood Creek Overlay
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P145(029)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	06-41610
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Rehabilitate roadway
Estimated project total cost	2200000	16016000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>33</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>34</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Riv-95 Drainage Improvements
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P095(020)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	08-43430
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Improve drainage
Estimated project total cost	2200000	4145000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>34</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 2: PROJECT-SPECIFIC:** Please complete for all projects where Recovery Act funds are obligated<sup>35</sup>

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Project name (Note: To the extent applicable, the project name should be the same name as the name appears in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and/or Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS).)	Highway 1 Recovery Bridge Replacement in Duluth, Minnesota	Upgrade MBGR with Concrete Barrier
Federal formula program	Highway Infrastructure Investment	Highway Infrastructure Investment
Recipient DUNS Number	999999999.9999	
Federal-aid project number (Note: The Federal-aid project number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	AAAA111	SARRA -P057(053)E
State project number or identification number, where available (Note: The state project or identification number applies only to Highway Infrastructure Investment.)	1000000000000	12-0G030
Project purpose (For Highway Infrastructure Investment, please provide a single sentence describing the type of project consistent with the improvement type code in FMIS.)	Bridge Replacement-No Added Capacity	Upgrade metal beam guard rail to concrete barrier to enhance safety
Estimated project total cost	2200000	6921000
Project rationale (Note: Please provide a short description of how the project will advance the recipient's transportation and infrastructure goals and the reason the recipient selected this particular investment.)	Improve bridge safety by replacing an 80-year old bridge	Rehabilitate aging transportation infrastructure

<sup>35</sup> Please use this table format to add rows to identify additional projects as necessary.

**Table 3: CONTACT PERSON**

Information Requested	Example	Please Insert Your Data in This Column
Name	Jon Smith	<a href="#">Randell Iwasaki</a>
Title	Director of Federally Administered Program	<a href="#">Chief Deputy Director</a>
Phone number	2025552000	9166545791
Fax number	2025553000	9166546608
Email address	<a href="mailto:Jon.smith@state.gov">Jon.smith@state.gov</a>	<a href="mailto:randell_iwasaki@dot.ca.gov">randell_iwasaki@dot.ca.gov</a>